



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,445	11/19/2003	Sandeep Bhatia	CA7035962001	9844
55497 7590 03/24/2008 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEM LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067				
EXAMINER				
TABONE JR, JOHN J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2117				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/24/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/718,445

Applicant(s)

BHATIA, SANDEEP

Examiner

JOHN J. TABONE JR

Art Unit

2117

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN J. TABONE JR.

(3) _____

(2) Attorney Jasper Kwoh.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Generally claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Masatake (JP-2003-202362).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Kwoh called to discuss the Non-Final rejection of 12/13/2007 concerning the limitation "the clock signal ... is directly input to the first scan chain and the second scan chain". Examiner further explained how Masatake's Drawing 1 read on the claimed invention, which cleared thing up for Attorney. Possible amendments to the claims were discussed in reference to Applicant's Fig. 5, but no decision was made.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John J. Tabone, Jr./
Examiner, Art Unit 2117

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required